PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Steven J. Simske

Appl. No.:

09/892,299

Filed:

June 26, 2001

Title:

System and Method of Automated Scan Workflow Assignment

Group Art Unit:

2622

Examiner:

Houshang Safaipour

Docket No.:

10013313-1

MAIL STOP: Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated July 5, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits the following comments on the Examiner's reasons for allowance:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. §1.8(A))

MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

MAIL STOP: Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FACSUMULE

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Tradomark Office fuesimile rusmber 571-273-2885 on:

Date Supt 28th, 200

PAGE 3/4 * RCVD AT 9/28/2005 2:58:06 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/34 * DNIS:2732885 * CSID:9708987247 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-40

Application No.: 09/892,299

PATENT

The Examiner is thanked for the allowance of the present application. Accompanying the Notice of Allowance, however, were stated reasons for allowance, which the Applicant wishes to clarify. Specifically, on page 2, the Examiner states that the invention recites a method and continues by reciting the limitations of Claim 1. Accordingly, such limitations, at least in form, are not recited by Claims 17 and 21. Further, on page 2, the Examiner states that "[t]he features identified, in combination with other claim limitations, are neither suggested nor discussed by the prior art" (emphasis added). The Examiner does not identify or otherwise indicate what the Examiner considers to be the "other claim limitations," especially with respect Claim 1 where, clearly, there are no "other claim limitations" of Claim 1 beyond those recited by the Examiner or recited by Claim 1 itself. Accordingly, Applicant is unsure as to the meaning or purpose of such statement. Applicant respectfully submits that the present record adequately demonstrates the manner in which the present claims, in their present form, patentably distinguish over the art of record, and Applicant respectfully submits that the present claims are allowable for reasons in addition to those provided by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Baudino

Reg. No. 43,486

Date: 9-27-05

Correspondence to: L.Joy Griebenow

Hewlett-Packard Company

Intellectual Property Administration

P. O. Box 272400

Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

Tel. 970-898-3884